

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant : Bratin Saha Art Unit : 2183  
Serial No. : 10/797,886 Examiner : Brian P. Johnson  
Filed : March 9, 2004 Confirmation No.: 5086  
Notice of Allowance Date: November 4, 2008

Title : SYNCHRONIZATION OF PARALLEL PROCESSES USING SPECULATIVE EXECUTION OF SYNCHRONIZATION INSTRUCTIONS

**MAIL STOP ISSUE FEE**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE

In response to the Notice of Allowance mailed November 4, 2008, enclosed is a completed issue fee transmittal form PTOL-85b.

## COMMENTS ON EXAMINER'S REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Applicant recognizes that in accordance with M.P.E.P. § 1302.14, the Examiner's reasons for allowance need not set forth all of the details as to why the claims are allowed.

Applicant does not concede that the Examiner’s stated reasons for allowance are the only reasons for which the claims are allowable. The claims may be allowable for other reasons as well. In particular, Applicant does not concede that all of the limitations identified by the Examiner are necessary to distinguish the prior art of record or to satisfy the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 112. In addition, the Examiner does not assert, and Applicant would not concede, that the Examiner’s reasons have any bearing on the patentability of claims in any other applications directed to the disclosed subject matter.

In addition, each dependent claim stands on its own and is allowable on its own merits. In particular, each dependent claim may be allowable on the basis of a combination of some of

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY EFS-WEB FILING**

I hereby certify that this paper was filed with the Patent and Trademark Office using the EFS-WEB system.

Applicant : Bratin Saha  
Serial No. : 10/797,886  
Filed : March 9, 2004  
Page : 2 of 2

Attorney's Docket No.: 10559-0913001 / P18139

the features recited in the dependent claim and its base claim(s), which combination of features may not include all of the limitations identified in the Examiner's reasons for allowance.

The fees in the total amount of \$1810 are being paid concurrently on the Electronic Filing System (EFS) by way of Deposit Account authorization. Please apply any other charges or credits to deposit account 06-1050, referencing the attorney docket number shown above.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: January 21, 2009

/William E. Hunter/  
William E. Hunter  
Attorney for Intel Corporation  
Reg. No. 47,671

PTO Customer No. 20985  
Fish & Richardson P.C.  
Facsimile: (877) 769-7945

22113132.doc